

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/808,705	FRUH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas Hatfield.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejection as stated in the prior Office action dated 9/15/04

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims and specification as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of pages 3, 4, and 9 of the specification, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)